IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE S.SIRI JAGAN

FRIDAY, THE 16TH NOVEMBER 2007 / 25TH KARTHIKA 1929

WP(C).No. 33887 of 2007(H)

PETITIONER:

SHERLY VARGHESE,
HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL TEACHER (MALAYALAM),
S.C.HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, RANNI.

BY ADV. SRI.C.S.MANILAL

RESPONDENTS:
----

1. THE STATE OF KERALA, REPRESENTED BY
THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, HIGHER EDUCATION
DEPARTMENT, SECRETARIAT, TRIVANDRUM.

2. THE DIRECTOR,
HIGHER SECONDARY EDUCATION, HOUSING BOARD
BUILDING, SANTHI NAGAR, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. THE DISTRICT EDUCATIONAL OFFICER,
PATHANAMTHITTA.

4. THE MANAGER,
S.C.HIGHER SECONDARY SCHOOL, CHELLAKKADU P.O.,
RANNI.

BY GOVT. PLEADER, SRI.A.J.VARGHESE

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING COME UP FOR ADMISSION ON 16/11/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

S.SIRI JAGAN, J.

==========

W.P.(C) No. 33887 of 2007(H)

==========

Dated this the 16th day of November, 2007

JUDGMENT

The petitioner was a HSA (Malayalam) in an aided School. That School was upgraded as a Higher Secondary School. Petitioner being qualified for promotion in the 25% quota for appointment by transfer as Higher Secondary School Teacher, she was so promoted and appointed. However in the order of appointment it was not specifically mentioned that the appointment was by transfer. The petitioner wants to correct the mistake in the appointment order for which she filed Ext.P2 representation before the management. The manager did not respond to the same. Therefore, the petitioner filed Exts.P3 and P5 representations before the 2nd respondent. The petitioner seeks expeditious disposal of Exts. P3 and P5.

2. I have heard the learned Government Pleader also. In the facts and circumstance of the case, there would be a direction

to the 2nd respondent to consider and pass appropriate orders on Exts. P3 and P5 as expeditiously as possible at any rate within one month from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. It would be open to the petitioner to produce and rely on the Ext.P4 judgment and the 2nd respondent shall consider the same also if applicable.

The writ petition is disposed of as above.

Sd/-
S.SIRI JAGAN,
JUDGE

jp